

Does Continuous Wear of a Face Mask Affect Your Tear Film?

S. Gunaganti, M. Koduri, S. Mereddy

Centurion University of Technology and Management
R44R+R4J, Village Alluri Nagar, R. Sitapur, Odisha 761211, India

РЕЗЮМЕ

Ophthalmology in Russia. 2023;20(2):298–302

Purpose. The continuous use of mask wear from pandemic induces instability in tear film due to the air blown up from the mask. The study's objective was to assess the effect of type of mask wear and duration, including short-term wear of mask on TBUT. **Design and methods.** This was a cross-sectional, comparative study. A total of 90 (180 eyes) subjects (76 females) were involved in the study and were categorized into three groups, each comprising 30 subjects. Either the groups were given a surgical, cloth, or N95 masks to wear. Baseline TBUT was collected after 30 mins without mask wear; next TBUT was measured immediately after 1 min of mask wear and subsequently after every 30 mins for 3.5 hours among all the three groups. TBUT changes within the groups and between the groups were compared using Friedman ANOVA and the Kruskal Wallis test. **Results.** There was a significant decline in TBUT after 30 mins of mask wear only among surgical & cloth mask users and was stable in N95 wearers. There is no influence on TBUT for 1.5 hours among all the mask wearers. However, a subsequent continuous significant difference was evident from 2 hours among surgical and cloth mask users and at 3.5 hours within N95 users. N95 wearers have a higher TBUT, and surgical have the least TBUT. **Conclusion.** Surgical mask wears significantly influence tear film stability, followed by cloth mask wear because of air leak from nose wire. TBUT is minimally affected by N95 wear.

Keywords: face mask effect, TBUT, mask influence, dry eye, mask duration effect

For citation: Gunaganti S, Koduri M, Mereddy S. Does Continuous Wear of a Face Mask Affect Your Tear Film? *Ophthalmology in Russia*. 2023;20(2):298–302. <https://doi.org/10.18008/1816-5095-2023-2-298-302>

Financial Disclosure: No author has a financial or property interest in any material or method mentioned

There is no conflict of interests

Acknowledgement: We are grateful to our teacher and great mentor, Late. Dr. Rishi Bhardwaj for his support and motivation. Our sincere thanks to Dr. Laxmi Gella for her fruitful insights whenever required. We thank all the subjects for their patience and contribution. We thank Dr MLN Acharyulu, Mr. Padala Eswar Rao and Mr. Muthukumaran Subramani for their constant support

Влияет ли ношение маски на состояние слезной пленки?

С. Гунаганти, М. Кодури, С. Мередди

Многопрофильный частный государственный университет из Одиши, Индия
R44R+R4J, Village Alluri Nagar, R. Sitapur, Odisha 761211, India

ABSTRACT

Офтальмология. 2023;20(2):298–302

Постоянное ношение масок во время пандемии вызывает нестабильность слезной пленки. Цель исследования состояла в том, чтобы оценить влияние типа и продолжительности ношения маски, включая кратковременное ношение маски, на показатель времени разрыва слезной пленки (ВРСП). **Дизайн и методы исследования.** Проведено перекрестное сравнительное исследование. Всего в исследовании приняли участие 90 человек (180 глаз) (из них 76 женщин), которые были разделены на три группы по 30 человек в каждой. По группам были выданы хирургические, тканевые или многоразовые фильтрующие маски-респираторы с клапаном (маска N95) для ношения. Базовый уровень ВРСП был определен за 30 минут до ношения маски; следующий показатель ВРСП измеряли через 1 минуту ношения маски, а затем через каждые 30 минут в течение 3,5 часа во всех трех группах. Изменения ВРСП внутри групп и между группами сравнивали с использованием дисперсионного анализа Фридмана и теста Краскела — Уоллиса. **Результаты.** Значительное снижение ВРСП после 30 минут ношения маски наблюдалось только среди пользователей хирургических и тканевых масок и оставалось стабильным у носителей маски N95. Влияние на ВРСП в течение 1,5 часа у всех носителей масок отсутствует. Однако последующая непрерывная значительная разница была очевидна через 2 часа среди пользователей хирургических и тканевых масок и через 3,5 часа среди пользователей маски N95. Те, кто носил маску N95, имели больший показатель ВРСП, а хирургические маски — наименьший. **Вывод.** Ношение хирургической маски значительно влияет на стабильность слезной пленки, за ней следует ношение тканевой маски из-за утечки воздуха. ВРСП минимально изменяется при ношении маски N95.

Ключевые слова: эффект маски для лица, ВРСП, влияние маски, сухой глаз, продолжительность ношения маски

Для цитирования: Гунаганти С, Кодури М, Мередди С. Влияет ли ношение маски на состояние слезной пленки? *Офтальмология*. 2023;20(2):298–302. <https://doi.org/10.18008/1816-5095-2023-2-298-302>

Прозрачность финансовой деятельности: Никто из авторов не имеет финансовой заинтересованности в представленных материалах или методах

Конфликт интересов отсутствует



С. Гунаганти, М. Кодури, С. Мередди

Контактная информация: Manisai Koduri kodurimanisai@gmail.com

Влияет ли ношение маски на состояние слезной пленки?

INTRODUCTION & BACKGROUND

A thin tear film covers the ocular surface. The tear film is a trilaminar structure compactly arranged with superior lipid, middle aqueous, and inferior mucin layers. This trilaminar structure abides with a complex interaction and provides lubrication to the ocular surface. It also protects the cornea from microbes, promotes metabolic functions and corneal transparency [1–3]. Aqueous and mucin layer is considered a single layer due to lack of clear boundaries differentiating between two, hence referred to as mucoaqueous layer or mucoaqueous pool (Map) [4]. The boundaries and real thickness of tear film are varied greatly in the literature and range between 3 μm to 6.5 μm [5, 6]. Abnormalities in tear constituents or meibomian gland dysfunction will lead to Dry eye abnormality [7, 8]. Exposure Dry eye is much higher among the patients with mechanical ventilation [9]. Similarly, any lid anatomical disturbances such as ectropion will also induce exposure related to dry eye [10]. Since the onset of the pandemic, wearing masks has become a new normal in addition to the other safety precautions. Ocular irritation and dryness are the major concerns of PPE (personal protective equipment), the masks especially [11]. The symptoms of dryness and irritation worsened after prolonged mask usage. Clinical symptoms such as irritation and dryness after prolonged mask use are well known [12]. The mask usage creates an effect analogous to mechanical ventilation by creating a fog formation, especially among the spectacle's wearers with the mask.

Aims. The earlier studies evidenced the incidence of dry eyes and ocular irritation with mask usage. The magnitude of tear stability with continuous increase in mask wear time is unknown within the short period and among the different mask users. The current study aims to find the association of tear film stability with prolonged mask usage, effects of different mask type and precept a wearing schedule for mask usage.

DESIGN AND METHODS

The current study is a prospective cross-sectional study. A sample of 180 student participants from Centurion university of technology and Management was involved. The participants included were 18 years or older, with no history of contact lens wear, not using any topical medications, and having normal corneal and anterior segment findings. All the participants with any ocular surface diseases and irregularities, positive fluorescein corneal staining, Schirmer's with less than 5mm, met with any ocular trauma, glaucoma, infections, underwent any ocular surgery in past two years, anyone with any systemic illness and or constitutional symptoms are excluded from the study. This study is conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. Each participant is given informed consent before enrolling in the study.

A preliminary examination including Distance and Near Visual Acuity, Objective and Subjective Refraction is performed prior to the slit lamp examination. The quantity of tears was evaluated using Schirmer's 1 B (using Tear strips,

35 mm x 5mm). Following the COVID-19 safety protocols, continuous mask wear is ensured during all these procedures except while collecting baseline values. Before collecting baseline measurements, the study ensured that each participant would remain without a face mask for 30 minutes to ensure that the TBUT was free from the mask impact. We ensured that participants maintained an appropriate social distance, ensuring that participants were sitting in a waiting room and kept under video surveillance. After collecting the baseline data, each participant was asked to wear either a cloth mask, surgical mask, or N95 (NIOSH-approved respirators). We make sure that each mask is multi-layered and the wear has a nose wire to ensure a proper fit over the nose and mouth to prevent leaks. Masks that have exhalation vents or with thin fabrics are omitted. After collecting baseline TBUT, every 30 participants are provided with any of the masks as mentioned above and asked to wear them continuously for 3.5 hours. TBUT is measured initially after 1 minute of mask wear and after every 30 minutes periodically till 3.5 hours.

Data is collected independently by two different examiners, MS & SM or SG, to assess the interobserver agreement. All the measurements were repeated thrice, and an average of three readings was considered.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The normality of the data was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. The TBUT changes with mask usage and increased time were assessed using Friedman ANOVA. Accordingly, we performed a post hoc analysis to identify the TBUT changes with increased wear time in detail. The reproducibility of the data is statistically analyzed using the Bland-Altman method. We used the Kruskal-Wallis-way analysis of variance test to assess the effect on TBUT with different mask use.

RESULTS

A total of 90 (180 eyes) subjects (76 females) were involved in this study, with mean subject age was 21.2 ± 3.6 years. A total of 30 subjects were asked to wear Surgical masks, other 30 cloth masks, and another 30 participants N95 masks; the TBUT is assessed before 30 minutes of mask wear as a baseline, immediately after 1 minute of mask wear, and after every 30 minutes of mask wear for continuous three and half hours. TBUT of nine measurements obtained from each mask wear type user. See Table 1. Each participant is recruited for 4 hours.

The Bland-Altman for the inter-observer agreement indicated a high reproducibility of all nine consecutive TBUT measurements among the three types of mask wearers.

With an increase in mask wear duration, there is a significant decrease in TBUT. Friedman's ANOVA test revealed a statistically significant effect of duration of mask wear on TBUT among all the mask types; Post hoc analysis with Wilcoxon signed-rank tests was conducted with a Bonferroni correction applied, resulting in a significance level set at $p < 0.005$. Within the surgical mask users have a chi-square value of $\chi^2 (8, n = 60) = 156.67, = 0.000$. Compared to baseline

Table 1. TBUT measurements made at time intervals mentioned

TBUT measurement number	Timelapse
Baseline TBUT	After 30 mins without mask wear
2 nd TBUT	After 1 min of mask wear
3 rd TBUT	After 30 mins of mask wear
4 th TBUT	After 1 hour of mask wear
5 th TBUT	After 1.5 hours of mask wear
6 th TBUT	After 2 hours of mask wear
7 th TBUT	After 2.5 hours of mask wear
8 th TBUT	After 3 hours of mask wear
9 th TBUT	After 3.5 hours of mask wear

TBUT Median (used as Md hereafter) value Md 6 sec; TBUT declined to Md 5 sec, $p = 0.001$ after 30 mins, and maintained the same at 2 hours and 2.5 hours with $p = 0.000$. TBUT further declined to Md 4sec, $p = 0.000$ after 3 hours and Md 3 sec, $p = 0.000$, after 3.5 hours (see Table 2).

A similar effect is observed among cloth mask users as well. Chi-square showed $\chi^2 (8, n = 60) = 60.81, =0.000$. Compared to the baseline value Md 6sec, TBUT declined to Md 5 seconds after 30 mins, $p = 0.000$, then rocketed with Md 7 sec after 2 hours, $p = 0.000$. In contrast after 2 hours, TBUT progressively

waned to Md 6 sec at 2.5 hours, Md 5 sec at 3 hours, and Md 4 sec at 3.5 hours with $p = 0.000$ (see Table 2).

On the other hand, among N95 mask users, TBUT is much more stable. Chi-square showed $\chi^2 (8, n = 60) = 26.39 = 0.000$. TBUT declined from baseline Md 7 sec to Md 6 sec only after 3.5 hours with $p = 0.003$ (see Table 2).

Among all the three mask wearers, Kruskal-Wallis test revealed no significant differences for TBUT measurements till 1.5 hours. However, there was a subsequent continuous significant difference after 2 hours of mask wear ($p = 0.002$), and ($p = 0.000$) after 2.5 to 3.5 hours. Among Surgical, Cloth, and N95 mask wearers, N95 wearers have a higher TBUT, and surgical have the least TBUT (see Table 3).

DISCUSSION

Wearing a mask for long hours has a significant influence on TBUT. The impact of TBUT is more seen among cloth mask users and surgical mask users. In contrast, the impact of TBUT on N95 mask usage is much lesser. Friedman ANOVA showed a decline of TBUT from Md 6 sec to Md 4 sec among the cloth mask wearers and from Md 6.5sec to Md 3 sec in surgical mask wearers, whereas, N95 wearers TBUT showed a slight shift from Md 7 sec to Md 6 sec only after 3.5 hours of mask wear. A significant difference is noted only after

Table 2. Trend of TBUT with increased duration of mask wear

Baseline compared to time at which TBUT measured	Surgical Mask			Cloth Mask			N95 Mask		
	Md (x to y)	P value	χ^2 ANOVA (8; 60) & Overall Significance $P < 0.05$	Md (x to y)	P value	χ^2 ANOVA (8; 60) & Overall Significance $P < 0.05$	Md (x to y)	P value	χ^2 ANOVA (8; 60) & Overall Significance $P < 0.05$
Baseline v/s 1 min	6 to 6.5	0.519	156.67 & $P=0.000$	6 to 6	0.519	60.81 & $P=0.000$	7 to 7	0.711	26.39 & $P=0.001$
Baseline v/s 30 mins	6 to 5	0.000		6 to 5	0.000		7 to 6.5	0.325	
Baseline v/s 1 hour	6 to 6	0.092		6 to 6	0.092		7 to 7	0.378	
Baseline v/s 1.5 hours	6 to 6	0.017		6 to 5	0.017		7 to 7	0.593	
Baseline v/s 2 hours	6 to 5	0.000		6 to 7	0.000		7 to 7	0.342	
Baseline v/s 2.5 hours	6 to 5	0.000		6 to 6	0.000		7 to 7	0.824	
Baseline v/s 3 hours	6 to 4	0.000		6 to 5	0.000		7 to 7	0.117	
Baseline v/s 3.5 hours	6 to 3	0.000		6 to 4	0.000		7 to 6	0.003	

Note: Md = median in seconds' x = baseline' y = TBUT with increased mask time, χ^2 ANOVA = Chi-square Analysis of variance; 8 = degrees of freedom; 60 = sample size; in P values bold indicates significant values (≤ 0.005) after Bonferroni correction.

Table 3. Median TBUT in seconds among different mask wearers with P values

Time period of mask wear	Median TBUT in Sec			P value
	Surgical mask	Cloth mask	N95 mask	
1 minute	6.5	6	7	0.678
30 minutes	5	5	6.5	0.611
1 hour	6	6	7	0.004
1.5 hours	6	5	7	0.177
2 hours	5	7	7	0.002*
2.5 hours	5	6	7	0.000*
3 hours	4	5	7	0.000*
3.5 hours	3	4	6	0.000*

Note: Bold indicates significant values ≤ 0.05 .

30 mins of mask wear among surgical and cloth mask users and only after 3.5 hours in N95 users. In a study, among moderate to severe dry eye patients, the mean NITBUT measured with mask showed 6.2 ± 3.8 seconds, which improved to 7.8 ± 5.6 seconds after 10 mins of mask removal [13]. In the current study, we did not find any significant difference from the baseline TBUT measured after 30 mins without mask wear to TBUT measured immediately after 1 min of mask wear, which could be probably due to the lesser influence of mask on tear film within 1 minute.

Mask usage is made mandatory with the onset of novel coronavirus. Dry eye symptoms among the regular mask wearers have become frequent. Few cases with corneal erosions post dryness induced to dry have been reported [14]. Higher incidence of dry eye and greater OSDI scores are prevalent among healthcare workers who wear masks for more than 6 hours [15]. Higher OSDI scores were commonly reported among continuous mask users. It is higher among women than men, higher with existing dry eye disease, and higher among 3 to 6 hours of mask wearers [16, 17]. Reduced tear quantity after wearing respiratory PPE, including facemasks and respirators, is also seen. Schirmer's values reduced by 3mm after 8 hours of PPE usage [18].

Exacerbations of symptoms are also self-reported during the mask use and shown mask associated dry eye [19]. Mask usage also significantly impacts contact lens users during the pandemic. Contact lens wear hours have declined among the mask users due to experienced dry eye symptoms [20]. Dendritic cell quantification and corneal nerve morphological changes are some of the typical cellular indicators of inflammation in DED (Dry eye disease hereafter) [21]. The face mask users who had an earlier diagnosis of DED showed an increase in DCD (dendritic cell density) and HLA-DR (Human Leukocyte Antigen — DR isotype). DCD & HLA-DR has also significantly increased among healthy individuals wearing masks for more than 6 hours a day. It also has an impact on quality of life. This evidence that the facemask will induce inflammatory changes leading to dry eye [22]. Widespread use of mask wear is also associated with an increased incidence of chalazion. The disruption in hydration of meibomian glands and evaporative dry eye could be the common cause among the mask wearers [23].

In comparing TBUT among the three different masks from Kruskal-Wallis, N95 has shown superior tear film

stability with Md 6 sec after 3.5 hours, whereas surgical mask wearers have greater instability of tear film with tear film TBUT Md 3sec after 3.5 hours. Improper usage of masks only will impact TBUT and dry eye symptoms. We subjectively asked about the watering and fog formation on the glasses in which most of the cloth mask and surgical mask users reported the presence of tearing initially after mask wear and fogging of glasses. Additionally, on visual inspection, we identified that the nose wire is not accurately bound to the nose causing the air to flow out, especially among the cloth and surgical mask users, and this is minimal or nil among the N95 users in which nose wire is accurate to sit on the nose & mouth without air leaks. In a study, increased mask wear showed a significant effect on TBUT, baseline TBUT of 13.03 ± 2.18 seconds(s) and varied to 9.12 ± 1.85 sec post 8 hours of mask wear. Schirmer's baseline of 16.87 ± 3.01 mm at baseline varied to 12.97 ± 2.74 mm after 8 hours of mask wear. When the subjects were taped their masks properly at the nose and reassessed TBUT after 15 days of mask wear, TBUT improved to 12.78 ± 2.05 sec and Schirmer's to 17.01 ± 2.95 mm [24]. The mask wear essentially controls the spread of the virus. Dry eye symptoms are solely due to air leakage or blowing up air from the leaks affecting the tear film directly among the mask users. The proper usage of masks can minimize dry eye symptoms. All the masks should be appropriately bound to the nose without any leaks. Superior designs of masks such as N95 are best to control the virus spread and to minimize dry eye symptoms.

CONCLUSION

With Pandemic onset, mask usage has become mandatory to restrict the virus expansion. Although mask wear is an effective way to restrict virus spread, it also negatively influences ocular health in the form of dry eyes and early tear break up. The primary cause is due to the air blown up from the masks. Surgical mask users are highly prone to have lesser TBUT with more significant air leaks than N95 and cloth masks. Better mask designs without leaks will reduce the influence of TBUT, thereby reducing the symptoms of Dry eye.

PARTICIPATION OF AUTHORS

Gunaganti S. — data collection, data analysis and interpretation, critical revision of manuscript;
Koduri M. — conceptualization and design of research, data collection, critical revision and final approval;
Merreddy S. — data collection

REFERENCES

- Holly FJ, Lemp MA. Tear physiology and dry eyes. *Surv Ophthalmol*. 1977 Sep-Oct;22(2):69–87. doi: 10.1016/0039-6257(77)90087-x.
- Wolff E. The muco-cutaneous junction of the lid margin and the distribution of the tear fluid. *Trans Ophthalmol Soc UK*. 1946;66:291–308.
- King-Smith PE, Fink BA, Hill RM, Koelling KW, Tiffany JM. The thickness of the tear film. *Curr Eye Res*. 2004 Oct-Nov;29(4–5):357–368. doi: 10.1080/02713680490516099.
- Cher I. A new look at lubrication of the ocular surface: fluid mechanics behind the blinking eyelids. *Ocul Surf*. 2008 Apr;6(2):79–86. doi: 10.1016/s1542-0124(12)70271-9.
- Werkmeister RM, Alex A, Kaya S, Unterhuber A, Hofer B, Riedl J, Bronhagl M, Vietauer M, Schmidl D, Schmoll T, Garhöfer G, Drexler W, Leitgeb RA, Groeschl M, Schmetterer L. Measurement of tear film thickness using ultrahigh-resolution optical coherence tomography. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2013 Aug 15;54(8):5578–5583. doi: 10.1167/iovs.13-11920.
- King-Smith PE, Fink BA, Fogt N, Nichols KK, Hill RM, Wilson GS. The thickness of the human precorneal tear film: evidence from reflection spectra. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2000 Oct;41(11):3348–3359.
- Dysfunction T. Treating Evaporative Dry Eye Associated With Meibomian Gland Dysfunction. *American Academy of Ophthalmology*. Retrieved 28 December 2021, from <https://www.aaao.org/eyenet/article/treating-evaporative-dry-eye>
- Findlay Q, Reid K. Dry eye disease: when to treat and when to refer. *Aust Prescr*. 2018 Oct;41(5):160–163. doi: 10.18773/austprescr.2018.048.
- Kousha O, Kousha Z, Paddle J. Exposure keratopathy: Incidence, risk factors and impact of protocolised care on exposure keratopathy in critically ill adults. *J Crit Care*. 2018 Apr;44:413–418. doi: 10.1016/j.jccr.2017.11.031.
- Golden MI, Meyer JJ, Patel BC. Dry Eye Syndrome. 2021 Nov 2. In: *StatPearls* [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2022 Jan. PMID: 29262012.

11. Dennis RJ, Miller RE 2nd, Peterson RD, Jackson WG Jr. Contact lens wear with the USAF Protective Integrated Hood/Mask chemical defense ensemble. *Aviat Space Environ Med.* 1992 Jul;63(7):565–571. PMID: 1616430.
12. Moshirfar M, West WB Jr, Marx DP. Face Mask-Associated Ocular Irritation and Dryness. *Ophthalmol Ther.* 2020 Sep;9(3):397–400. doi: 10.1007/s40123-020-00282-6.
13. Arriola-Villalobos P, Burgos-Blasco B, Vidal-Villegas B, Oribio-Quinto C, Ariño-Gutiérrez M, Diaz-Valle D, Benitez-Del-Castillo JM. Effect of Face Mask on Tear Film Stability in Eyes With Moderate-to-Severe Dry Eye Disease. *Cornea.* 2021 Oct 1;40(10):1336–1339. doi: 10.1097/ICO.0000000000002734.
14. Tang YF, Chong EWT. Face Mask-Associated Recurrent Corneal Erosion Syndrome and Corneal Infection. *Eye Contact Lens.* 2021 Oct 1;47(10):573–574. doi: 10.1097/ICL.0000000000000837.
15. Jahanbani-Ardakani H, Hosseini M, Almasi S, Khalili MR. Letter to the Editor: Face Mask-associated Dry Eye in Health Care Professionals amid the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Optom Vis Sci.* 2021 Aug 1;98(8):995–996. doi: 10.1097/OPX.0000000000001758.
16. Krolo I, Blazeka M, Merdzo I, Vrtar I, Sabol I, Petric-Vickovic I. Mask-Associated Dry Eye During COVID-19 Pandemic-How Face Masks Contribute to Dry Eye Disease Symptoms. *Med Arch.* 2021 Apr;75(2):144–148. doi: 10.5455/med-arch.2021.75.144-148.
17. Scalinci SZ, Pacella E, Battagliola ET. Prolonged face mask use might worsen dry eye symptoms. *Indian J Ophthalmol.* 2021 Jun;69(6):1508–1510. doi: 10.4103/ijo.IJO_2641_20.
18. Kuroyedov AV, Zavadski PC, Brezhnev AY, Gorodnichii VV, Gazizova IR, Seleznev AV, Onufriichuk ON, Razhko YI, Nagornova ZM. Influence of Personal Respiratory and Visual Protective Equipment on the Development and Progression of Dry Eye Syndrome. *Ophthalmology in Russia.* 2020;17(3):519–526. doi: 10.18008/1816-5095-2020-3-519-526
19. Boccardo L. Self-reported symptoms of mask-associated dry eye: A survey study of 3,605 people. *Cont Lens Anterior Eye.* 2021 Jan 20:101408. doi: 10.1016/j.clae.2021.01.003. Epub ahead of print.
20. Martinez-Perez C, Monteiro B, Soares M, Portugues F, Matos S, Ferreira A, Alvarez-Peregrina C, Sánchez-Tena M. Influence of Face Masks on the Use of Contact Lenses. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2021 Jul 11;18(14):7407. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18147407.
21. Shetty R, Sethu S, Deshmukh R, Deshpande K, Ghosh A, Agrawal A, Shroff R. Corneal Dendritic Cell Density Is Associated with Subbasal Nerve Plexus Features, Ocular Surface Disease Index, and Serum Vitamin D in Evaporative Dry Eye Disease. *Biomed Res Int.* 2016;2016:4369750. doi: 10.1155/2016/4369750.
22. Mastropasqua L, Lanzini M, Brescia L, D'Aloisio R, Nubile M, Ciancaglini M, D'Amario C, Agnifili L, Mastropasqua R. Face Mask-Related Ocular Surface Modifications During COVID-19 Pandemic: A Clinical, In Vivo Confocal Microscopy, and Immune-Cytology Study. *Transl Vis Sci Technol.* 2021 Mar 1;10(3):22. doi: 10.1167/tvst.10.3.22.
23. Silkiss RZ, Paap MK, Ugradar S. Increased incidence of chalazion associated with face mask wear during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Am J Ophthalmol Case Rep.* 2021 Jun;22:101032. doi: 10.1016/j.ajoc.2021.101032.
24. Aksoy M, Simsek M. Evaluation of Ocular Surface and Dry Eye Symptoms in Face Mask Users. *Eye Contact Lens.* 2021 Oct 1;47(10):555–558. doi: 10.1097/ICL.0000000000000831.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Sony Gunaganti, M. Optom
Assistant Professor
Department of Optometry
School of Paramedical and Allied Health Sciences
Centurion University of Management and Technology
Tekkali Village, Nelimarla Mandal,
Vizianagaram Pin: 535003,
Andhra Pradesh, India

Manisai Koduri, M. Optom
Assistant Professor
Department of Optometry
School of Paramedical and Allied Health Sciences
Centurion University of Management and Technology
Tekkali Village, Nelimarla Mandal,
Vizianagaram Pin: 535003,
Andhra Pradesh, India

Shravan Mereddy, M. Optom
Head of the Department,
Assistant Professor
Department of Optometry,
School of Paramedical and Allied Health Sciences,
Centurion University of Technology and Management,
Tekkali Village, Nelimarla Mandal,
Vizianagaram Pin: 535003,
Andhra Pradesh, India